

THE C^k -CLASSIFICATION OF CERTAIN OPERATORS IN L_p . II

BY

SHMUEL KANTOROVITZ⁽¹⁾

Introduction. We study the one-parameter family of operators

$$T_\alpha = M + \alpha J$$

acting in $L_p(0, 1)$, $1 < p < \infty$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ (the complex field), $M: f(x) \rightarrow xf(x)$ and $J: f(x) \rightarrow \int_0^x f(t) dt$.

Our purpose is to bring the main results of [6] to the best possible form. This will be achieved by replacing Theorem 6, Proposition 13 and Proposition 15 of [6] by the following theorems.

THEOREM 1. *Let n be a nonnegative integer. Then T_α is of class C^n if and only if $|\operatorname{Re} \alpha| \leq n$.*

THEOREM 2. *T_α is similar to T_β if and only if $\operatorname{Re} \alpha = \operatorname{Re} \beta$.*

THEOREM 3. *T_α is spectral if and only if $\operatorname{Re} \alpha = 0$.*

Theorem 2 was conjectured in [6].

The above results, along with some others, will follow from an interesting formula relating the holomorphic groups of operators $U_\alpha(z) = \exp(zT_\alpha)$ and $V_z(\alpha) = (I + zJ)^\alpha$ ($\alpha, z \in \mathbb{C}$).

1. Preliminaries. Let $\{J^{i\gamma}, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be the boundary group of the Riemann-Liouville holomorphic semigroup acting in $L_p(0, 1)$, $1 < p < \infty$ (cf. [4]). It is known that

$$(0) \quad \|J^{i\gamma}\| \leq \exp(\pi|\gamma|/2) \quad (\gamma \in \mathbb{R})$$

and

$$(1) \quad T_{\beta+i\gamma} = J^{-i\gamma} T_\beta J^{i\gamma} \quad (\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R})$$

(cf. [4] and [6, Lemma 2]).

For $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$, let $C^n[0, 1]$ denote the Banach algebra of all complex functions of class C^n on $[0, 1]$ with the norm

$$\|\phi\|_n = \sum_{j=0}^n \sup_{[0,1]} |\phi^{(j)}|/j!.$$

Presented to the Society, August 29, 1968; received by the editors May 20, 1968.

⁽¹⁾ Research supported by NSF grant GP 8289.

Copyright © 1969, American Mathematical Society

Let T be a bounded operator acting on a Banach space X , with spectrum in $[0, 1]$. We say that T is of class C^n if there exists a continuous representation τ of $C^n[0, 1]$ on X which sends the functions $\phi(t) \equiv 1$ and $\phi(t) \equiv t$ to the identity operator I and to T , respectively. The representation τ is unique (when it exists), and is called the C^n -operational calculus for T (cf. [5]). For example, it follows from [6, Lemma 3] that the operator $T_n = M + nJ$ acting in $L_p(0, 1)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, is of class C^n , and its C^n -operational calculus is given by

$$(2) \quad \tau_n(\phi) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} M(\phi^{(j)}) J^j, \quad \phi \in C^n[0, 1],$$

where $M(\psi)$ denotes the operator of multiplication by the function ψ .

Since J is quasi-nilpotent, the operator $(I + zJ)^\alpha$ ($\alpha, z \in \mathbb{C}$) is well defined by means of the analytic operational calculus:

$$(3) \quad (I + zJ)^\alpha = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \lambda^\alpha [(\lambda - 1)I - zJ]^{-1} d\lambda,$$

where, to fix the ideas, Γ is the circle $|\lambda - 1| = 1/2$. For each fixed z , $\{(I + zJ)^\alpha; \alpha \in \mathbb{C}\}$ is a holomorphic group of operators. We shall need a simple estimate on its norm. We have

$$[(\lambda - 1)I - zJ]^{-1} = (\lambda - 1)^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [z/(\lambda - 1)]^n J^n, \quad \lambda \neq 1.$$

Since $\|J^n\| \leq 1/n!$, we see that $\|[(\lambda - 1)I - zJ]^{-1}\| \leq 2 \exp(2|z|)$ ($\lambda \in \Gamma$).

Write $\alpha = \beta + i\gamma$ ($\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$) and $\lambda = re^{i\theta}$. For $\lambda \in \Gamma$, we have $1/2 \leq r \leq 3/2$ and $|\theta| \leq \pi/6$. Consequently

$$|\lambda^{\beta + i\gamma}| = r^\beta e^{-\theta\gamma} \leq (1 \pm 1/2)^\beta \exp(\pi|\gamma|/6), \quad \lambda \in \Gamma,$$

where the sign is $(+)$ if $\beta \geq 0$ and $(-)$ if $\beta < 0$. By (3), it follows that

$$(4) \quad \|(I + zJ)^\alpha\| \leq (1 \pm 1/2)^\beta \exp(\pi|\gamma|/6) \exp(2|z|) \quad (\alpha = \beta + i\gamma).$$

2. The basic results.

THEOREM 4. For all $\alpha, z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(zT_\alpha) &= e^{zM}(I + zJ)^\alpha \\ &= (I - zJ)^{-\alpha} e^{zM}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note first that all the operator functions involved are entire functions of the complex variables α, z . Moreover, it suffices to prove the first identity (or the second), because once this is done, we get

$$\exp(zT_\alpha) = [\exp(-zT_\alpha)]^{-1} = [e^{-zM}(I - zJ)^\alpha]^{-1} = (I - zJ)^{-\alpha} e^{zM},$$

as wanted.

For z fixed, consider the operator-valued entire function

$$\Phi_z(\alpha) = e^{-zM} \exp(zT_\alpha) - (I + zJ)^\alpha.$$

We verify the hypothesis of [3, Theorem 3.13.7]. Since

$$\|e^{-zM} \exp(zT_\alpha)\| \leq \exp(|z| \|M\|) \exp(|z| \|M + \alpha J\|) \leq \exp(|z|(2 + |\alpha|)),$$

it follows from (4) that

$$\|\Phi_z(\alpha)\| \leq \exp(2|z|) \{\exp(|z| |\alpha|) + (3/2)^\delta \exp(\pi|\gamma|/6)\}$$

for $\alpha = \beta + i\gamma$, $\beta \geq 0$.

Thus

$$\|\Phi_z(re^{i\theta})\| \leq Ce^{r\lambda(\theta)}, \quad -\pi/2 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2,$$

where $C = 2e^{2|z|}$ and

$$\lambda(\theta) = \max\{|z|, \log(3/2) \cos \theta + (\pi/6)|\sin \theta|\}.$$

Clearly, $\lambda(\theta)$ is bounded, even, and

$$\lambda(\pm\pi/2) \leq \pi \quad \text{for } |z| \leq \pi.$$

Moreover, if $|z| < \pi$, we have

$$\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0+} \delta^{-1} \{\pi - \lambda(\pi/2 - \delta)\} = \infty.$$

Using (2) with $\phi(t) = \phi_z(t) = e^{zt}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(zT_n) &= \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} z^j M(\phi_z) J^j \\ &= e^{zM} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (zJ)^j \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$(5) \quad \exp(zT_n) = e^{zM}(I + zJ)^n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Thus $\Phi_z(n) = 0$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. By Theorem 3.13.7 in [3], it follows that

$$\Phi_z(\alpha) = 0, \quad \operatorname{Re} \alpha \geq 0, \quad |z| < \pi.$$

Since $\Phi_z(\alpha)$ is entire in both variables, we conclude that $\Phi_z(\alpha) = 0$ for all α , $z \in \mathbb{C}$.
Q.E.D.

REMARKS. 1. Theorem 4 is also valid for $p = 1$, since we used only Lemma 3 of [6], which is true in this case as well.

2. Let n be a nonnegative integer. The second identity in Theorem 4 shows that

$$(6) \quad \exp(zT_{-n}) = (I - zJ)^n e^{zM}.$$

This formula follows also from Lemma 5 in [6], and could be used instead of (5) to prove Theorem 4, thus relying on Lemma 5 in [6] rather than on Lemma 3

there. As a matter of fact, the proof of Theorem 4 can be used to show that the two lemmas are consequences of each other (cf. [5, proof of Lemma 2.11]).

3. It follows from Theorem 4 that the holomorphic groups $U_\alpha(z) = \exp(zT_\alpha)$ satisfy the "cocycle" identity:

$$U_{\alpha+\beta}(z) = U_\alpha(z)e^{-zM}U_\beta(z) \quad (\alpha, \beta, z \in \mathbb{C}).$$

By Theorem 4 and the spectral mapping theorem, the spectrum of the operator $e^{-zM} \exp(zT_\alpha) = (I + zJ)^\alpha$ consists of the single point $\lambda = 1$. Therefore, the analytic operational calculus may be used to define powers $[e^{-zM} \exp(zT_\alpha)]^\beta$ for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, and by Theorem VII.3.12 in [2], one has

$$[e^{-zM} \exp(zT_\alpha)]^\beta = (I + zJ)^{\alpha\beta} = e^{-zM} \exp(zT_{\alpha\beta}).$$

A similar relation follows from the second identity in Theorem 4:

COROLLARY 5. For all $\alpha, \beta, z \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(zT_{\alpha\beta}) &= e^{zM} [e^{-zM} \exp(zT_\alpha)]^\beta \\ &= [\exp(zT_\alpha) e^{-zM}]^\beta e^{zM}. \end{aligned}$$

We consider now the one-parameter groups of operators

$$G_\alpha(t) = \exp(itT_\alpha) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

THEOREM 6. For each $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a constant $C_\beta > 0$ such that

$$C_\beta e^{-\pi|\gamma|} \leq (1 + |t|)^{-|\beta|} \|G_{\beta+i\gamma}(t)\| \leq e^{\pi/2} e^{\pi|\gamma|}$$

for all $\gamma, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. By (0) and (1), it suffices to prove the theorem for $\gamma = 0$.

Fix $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and consider the operator-valued entire function

$$(7) \quad \psi_t(\alpha) = \exp(\pi\alpha^2)G_\alpha(t) \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{C}).$$

By (1)

$$(8) \quad \|\psi_t(\alpha)\| \leq \exp(\pi(\beta^2 + \tfrac{1}{4})) \|G_\beta(t)\| \quad (\alpha = \beta + i\gamma).$$

In particular, $\psi_t(\beta + i\gamma)$ is bounded in the strip $n-1 \leq \beta \leq n$, for any integer n . By (5), (6) and (8),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_t(n+i\gamma)\| &\leq \exp(\pi(n^2 + \tfrac{1}{4})) \|(I \pm itJ)^{|n|}\| \\ &\leq \exp(\pi(n^2 + \tfrac{1}{4}))(1 + |t|)^{|n|}. \end{aligned}$$

Write β as the convex combination $bn + c(n-1) = n - c$; $|\beta| = b|n| + c|n-1|$. Then, by the "three lines theorem" [2, VI.10.3] and the preceding inequalities,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_t(\beta + i\gamma)\| &\leq \exp \pi [b(n^2 + \tfrac{1}{4}) + c((n-1)^2 + \tfrac{1}{4})] (1 + |t|)^{|\beta|} \\ &= \exp \pi [n^2 - 2cn + c + \tfrac{1}{4}] (1 + |t|)^{|\beta|} \\ &= \exp \pi [(n-c)^2 + c(1-c) + \tfrac{1}{4}] (1 + |t|)^{|\beta|} \\ &\leq \exp \pi [\beta^2 + \tfrac{1}{4}] (1 + |t|)^{|\beta|}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|G_\beta(t)\| = \exp(-\pi\beta^2)\|\psi_t(\beta)\| \leq \exp(\pi/2)(1+|t|)^{|\beta|}.$$

Next, fix $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$ and let

$$C_\beta = \inf_{t \in \mathbf{R}} (1+|t|)^{-|\beta|} \|G_\beta(t)\| \geq 0.$$

We must show that $C_\beta > 0$. This is obvious for $\beta=0$, since $\|G_0(t)\| = 1$. So consider $\beta \neq 0$, and fix an integer $n \geq 1$ such that $n|\beta| > 1$. Trivially, $(1+|t|)^{-|\beta|} \|G_\beta(t)\| > 0$ for each $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Assume $C_\beta = 0$. There exists then a sequence $\{t_k\}$ in \mathbf{R} such that $|t_k| \rightarrow \infty$ and $(1+|t_k|)^{-|\beta|} \|G_\beta(t_k)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose k_0 such that

$$(9) \quad (1+|t_k|)^{-|\beta|} \|G_\beta(t_k)\| < \varepsilon^{|\beta|} \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0.$$

For $k \geq k_0$ fixed, consider the entire functions

$$F_k^\pm(\zeta) = (1+|t_k|)^{\mp|\beta|} \psi_{t_k}(\zeta), \quad \zeta \in \mathbf{C}.$$

It follows from (8) that $F_k^\pm(\zeta)$ is bounded in each vertical strip $a \leq \operatorname{Re} \zeta \leq b$ ($a, b \in \mathbf{R}$) and

$$\|F_k^\pm(i\eta)\| \leq \exp(\pi/4) \quad (\eta \in \mathbf{R}).$$

By Corollary 5,

$$\|G_{n\beta}(t_k)\| = \|[\exp(-it_k M)G_\beta(t_k)]^n\| \leq \|G_\beta(t_k)\|^n.$$

Therefore, by (9),

$$(1+|t_k|)^{-n|\beta|} \|G_{n\beta}(t_k)\| \leq [(1+|t_k|)^{-|\beta|} \|G_\beta(t_k)\|]^n \leq \varepsilon^{n|\beta|}.$$

By (1), we then have

$$\|F_k^\pm(n\beta + i\eta)\| \leq \exp(\pi(n^2\beta^2 + \tfrac{1}{4}))\varepsilon^{n|\beta|}$$

where the superscript of F_k is $(+)$ if $\beta > 0$ and $(-)$ if $\beta < 0$. By the "three lines theorem" applied to F_k^+ (resp. F_k^-) in the strip $0 \leq \xi \leq n\beta$ (resp. $n\beta \leq \xi \leq 0$), we obtain

$$\|F_k^\pm(\xi + i\eta)\| \leq \exp(\pi(n^2\beta^2 + \tfrac{1}{4}))\varepsilon^{|\xi|}$$

in the respective strips.

This is true in particular for $\xi = \xi = 1$ (resp. -1), since $n|\beta| > 1$. Thus

$$(1+|t_k|)^{-1} \|G_{\pm 1}(t_k)\| = e^{-\pi} \|F_k^\pm(\pm 1)\| \leq C\varepsilon$$

where C does *not* depend on k .

This proves that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (1+|t_k|)^{-1} \|G_{\pm 1}(t_k)\| = 0.$$

However, by (5) and (6), this limit is equal to

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (1+|t_k|)^{-1} \|I \pm it_k J\| = \|J\| \neq 0$$

(since $|t_k| \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$). This contradiction shows that $C_\beta > 0$, and the proof is complete.

3. Proofs of Theorems 1-3.

Proof of Theorem 1. If $|\operatorname{Re} \alpha| \leq n$, T_α is of class C^n by Theorem 6 in [6]. Suppose then that T_α is of class C^n for some $\alpha = \beta + i\gamma$ with $|\beta| > n$. It follows that (cf. [5, Lemma 2.11]) $\|G_\alpha(t)\| \leq C(1 + |t|)^n$, and therefore

$$(1 + |t|)^{-|\beta|} \|G_{\beta+i\gamma}(t)\| \leq C(1 + |t|)^{n-|\beta|} \rightarrow 0$$

as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$, contradicting Theorem 6.

Proof of Theorem 2. By (1), T_α and T_λ are similar if $\operatorname{Re} \alpha = \operatorname{Re} \lambda$. It then remains to show that T_β and T_λ are *not* similar for distinct *real* numbers β and λ . Suppose $\beta, \lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, $\beta \neq \lambda$, and $T_\beta = Q^{-1}T_\lambda Q$ with Q nonsingular. First, assume $|\lambda| < |\beta|$. Then, by Theorem 6,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + |t|)^{-|\beta|} \|G_\beta(t)\| &= (1 + |t|)^{-|\beta|} \|Q^{-1}G_\lambda(t)Q\| \\ &\leq e^{\pi/2} \|Q\| \|Q^{-1}\| (1 + |t|)^{|\lambda|-|\beta|} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } |t| \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

contradicting Theorem 6.

The following argument, which was kindly communicated to me by Professor G. K. Kalisch, disposes of the case $|\lambda| = |\beta|$. Suppose $T_\beta P = P T_{-\beta}$ for P nonsingular and $\beta > 0$. By Lemma 1 in [6], it follows that the compact operator $J^\beta P J^\beta$ commutes with M , and hence must be 0, a contradiction (cf. Lemma 2 in G. Kalisch, *On isometric equivalence of certain Volterra operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1961), 93-98).

Proof of Theorem 3. By (1), T_α is trivially spectral (of scalar type) for $\operatorname{Re} \alpha = 0$, and we already know that T_α is not spectral for $|\operatorname{Re} \alpha| \geq 1$ [6, Proposition 15]. Suppose then that T_α is spectral for some $\alpha = \beta + i\gamma$ with $0 < |\beta| < 1$. By (1), it follows that T_β is spectral. Since T_β is of class C^1 (Theorem 1), it is necessarily of type ≤ 1 , i.e., $T_\beta = S + N$ with S, N commuting, S spectral of scalar type and $N^2 = 0$ (cf. [1]). Thus $G_\beta(t) = e^{itS} e^{itN} = e^{itS}(I + itN)$. Since S has real spectrum (the spectrum of T_β), $\|e^{itS}\| \leq M$, and therefore, by Theorem 6, we have as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|N\| &= \lim (1 + |t|)^{-1} \|I + itN\| = \lim (1 + |t|)^{-1} \|e^{-itS} G_\beta(t)\| \\ &\leq M \limsup (1 + |t|)^{-1} \|G_\beta(t)\| \leq M e^{\pi/2} \limsup (1 + |t|)^{|\beta|-1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $T_\beta = S$ and $(1 + |t|)^{-|\beta|} \|G_\beta(t)\| \leq M(1 + |t|)^{-|\beta|} \rightarrow 0$, contradicting Theorem 6.

REFERENCES

1. N. Dunford, *A survey of the theory of spectral operators*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **64** (1958), 217-274.
2. N. Dunford and J. Schwartz, *Linear operators*. I, Interscience, New York, 1958.
3. E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *Functional analysis and semi-groups*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. Vol. 31, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1957.
4. G. K. Kalisch, *On fractional integrals of pure imaginary order in L_p* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 136-139.

5. S. Kantorovitz, *Classification of operators by means of their operational calculus*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **115** (1965), 194–224.

6. ———, *The C^* -classification of certain operators in L_p* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (1968), 323–333.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT CHICAGO CIRCLE,
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS